

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

UNIVERSITY SENATE

May 20, 1968

A special meeting of the Senate will be held on Tuesday, May 21, 1968, sixth floor Library, at 4:00 pm. The purpose of this meeting is:

To consider a statement by the Presidents of the Universities of the Consortium regarding cooperation with the "Poor Peoples' University."

Frederick R. Houser
Secretary

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
UNIVERSITY SENATE MAY 10, 1968

The University Senate met on Friday, May 10, 1968, at 2:10 pm, in its first meeting of the 1968-69 Session, President Elliott presiding.

Professor Wood rose to welcome the newly elected members of the Senate.

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved after amendment to add the name of Professor John W. Brewer, Columbian College, whose name had been inadvertently omitted, and to add School of Engineering after the name of Professor Edward H. Braun, instead of Columbian College, to the list of Executive Committee Members on page two.

As Professor LeBlanc found it necessary to leave the meeting early, item four of the agenda - Report on Faculty-Student Conference on University Objectives held at Airlie House, April 28, - was presented as the next item of business. Professor LeBlanc spoke briefly and informally of the conference which was attended by faculty members representing various disciplines, schools, and points of view, and students from various organizations on campus. He stated that the conference was held for the purpose of an exchange of views between faculty and students, and on this basis it was highly successful.

Presentation of Annual Reports of Standing Committees - item three of the agenda, followed. Copies of these reports are filed in the office of the Secretary of the Senate.

Student Relationships: Professor Hill reported the submission of this committee's report at the April 5 meeting of the Senate, and requested the report be distributed with these minutes. (See Appendix I)

Public Ceremonies: Professor Boswell reported the President's approval of the committee's recommendation at its April meeting, to discontinue the Baccalaureate service, effective this spring.

Faculty Performance and Development: Professor Stevens presented the report with a RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE CODE AND ORDINANCES PRINCIPLES STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES (68/3), which concerns the establishment of an all-university committee to consider cases of tenure designation and promotion of faculty members with tenure. According to the Senate Bylaws, Resolutions attached to Annual Reports become the business of the committee in the next session.

Library: Presented by Professor Liverman, with PROPOSED RESOLUTION ON FACULTY PARTICIPATION IN ARCHITECTURAL DECISIONS (68/).

Educational Policy: Presented by Professor Zuchelli.

Scholarship: Presented by Professor St. Cyr.

Physical Facilities: Professor Perros presented the report with brief comments regarding two recommendations embodied in the concluding paragraphs of the report.

Research: Dr. Kramer presented the report with comments concerning

the committee's progress made during 1967-68, in defining its role, and the exploration of three areas: (1) Philosophy Toward Research, (2) Intramural Research, and (3) Sponsored Research.

On behalf of the Executive Committee, Professor Wood presented the Annual Report of the Committee, to which was appended a summary of actions by the Senate and the Executive Committee for the 1967-68 Session. (Appendix II)

Professor Wood submitted a slate of nominees for membership on the Standing Committees of the Senate, with the explanation that the Executive Committee was guided in its choice of members nominated by the replies received to questionnaires sent to the full-time faculty on two separate occasions. Professor Perros moved, seconded by Professor Brewer, the adoption of the slate of nominees, and the members were elected unanimously. (Appendix III)

As provided by item six on the agenda, Professor Jones, on behalf of the Student Relationships Committee, moved, seconded by Professor Hill the submission of a RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A SPECIAL SENATE COMMITTEE ON UNIVERSITY AND URBAN AFFAIRS (68/1). Professor Stevens moved, seconded by Professor Zuchelli, the following amendment to the Resolution:

Paragraph one after the Resolving Clause be amended as follows:

That the Senate elect a Special Committee on University and Urban Affairs.

Paragraph two be deleted in its entirety and the following language be substituted:

That this Committee, in cooperation with the Student Council Committee on Urban Affairs, shall study the University's commitment to the community in which it is located; define goals and priorities; develop and recommend policies and programs to accomplish its goals.

Professor Jones raised no objection to the amendment; the question on the original motion as amended, was called, and was passed unanimously. (Resolution 68/1 - Appendix IV)

As provided by item seven of the agenda, Professor Wood moved, seconded by Professor Arkilic, a RESOLUTION CONCERNING UNLAWFUL ACTS WHICH CAUSE OR IMMINENTLY THREATEN INJURY TO PERSONS OR PROPERTY OR WHICH OBSTRUCT OR INTERFERE WITH NORMAL AND NECESSARY UNIVERSITY ACTIVITIES (68/2), as amended by the Executive Committee at its meeting prior to the Senate meeting. In speaking to the Resolution, Professor Wood mentioned the chief differences between the Senate Resolution and the Resolution passed by the Student Council at its meeting on May 8, and invited Mr. James Knicely, President of Student Council, to speak on behalf of that body. Mr. Knicely read the Resolution passed by Student Council, and explained the reasoning behind the omission of the "obstruction" clause in the Student Council Resolution; the inclusion of action to be taken in the event faculty members are involved; and the desirability of a judicial review of the Student Life Committee in the event of a suspension of students. He suggested the deletion of the use of law enforcement in the Resolution.

Mr. Richard Crosfield, International Student representative of Student

Council spoke to that portion of the Resolution concerning the use of law enforcement, and suggested that it be restructured in order to be less provocative.

Mr. David Fishback, President of the GW chapter of SERVE, spoke of the "ideal, unique" situation at GW, it having an administration as well as a student body and faculty open to constructive change. He agreed that the use of law enforcement was not necessary as nothing was planned or considered at GW as had occurred at Columbia University.

Professor Hill said he hoped the Executive Committee would, in the future, invite representatives of the student body to attend meetings when the Committee was considering matters which concern students, as he felt the University had a fortunate situation in its relationship between students and faculty. He moved, seconded by Professor Liverman, the deletion of the words "or interfere with" in the Resolution.

After further statements to the question by Professor Wood, Professor Hill withdrew his amendment, and discussion of the original amendment of Resolution (68/2) was resumed, with comments by Professors Heller, Pock, and Seidelson concerning the purpose of the Resolution; whether it was to affirm or reaffirm the authority of the President to act in the event of unlawful acts. Professor Wood stated that the Senate was not questioning the authority of the President but that, the Resolution if passed, would embody the Senate's recommendation as to the appropriate response of the University to the unlawful acts described.

Following additional discussion by Professors Hill, Alpert, Allen, Zuchelli, Seidelson, Heller, Stevens, and Jones, the original question was called and Resolution (68/2) was passed as amended. (Appendix V)

During the period provided for statements by members and visitors, Professor Hill spoke of his disappointment in the defeat of the joint committee, and he again recommended the inclusion of the President of Student Council in meetings of the Executive Committee when matters of concern to students are to be discussed.

Mr. Paul Panitz, recently appointed Editor of the HATCHET was recognized and welcomed to the meeting.

Professor Stevens moved, seconded by Professor Brewer, a Resolution congratulating the Student Council for its mature and responsible approach to the problem, and it was passed with unanimous acclaim.

President Elliott spoke briefly, reviewing the Summer Advance Registration Program, and pointed out the importance of the presence of the faculty during orientation. He emphasized that the first responsibility of all, dean or counselor, is to the students; that research supports the more important activities of the professors' long range service to the students; and that the business in which we are engaged today is that of teaching students more effective methods of research.

Professor Zuchelli, who had been one of the participants of the Airlie House conference, supported Professor LeBlanc's belief that the conference had served a very valuable purpose. Particularly in that it helped in alleviating the feeling of alienation between segments of students and

faculty, brought about perhaps through the mechanism of grading, by allowing informal talks between the two groups. He said further that although he had voted against student participation in the Senate formerly, perhaps, with certain precautions, student representation in the Senate would serve to allay a sense of antagonism.

Professor Heller expressed approval of Professor Zuchelli's statement concerning inclusion of student representation in the Senate. He observed that he was leaving the Senate after serving four years - through two administrations of the University - and he felt pleased with the way the Senate had functioned within the University.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:57 pm.


Frederick R. Houser
Secretary

The Annual Report of the Standing Committee on Student
Relationships to the University Senate of the
George Washington University
(Academic Year 1967-68)

APPENDIX I

The Student Relationships Committee met six times between October 19, 1967, and April 1, 1968. During this period its actions touched on:

1. Faculty participation in the University's Homecoming program.
2. Freshman Orientation.
3. The Academic Evaluation.
4. Student Participation in Dean's Council Disciplinary Actions.
5. Alterations in the Penalty for Academic Dishonesty.
6. Replacement of the Committee itself by the establishment of a Joint Committee of the Senate and the Student Council.

Committee actions (as numbered above) are described more fully:

1. HOMECOMING: The Committee on October 19 unanimously endorsed "full faculty support" of the November 3-5 Homecoming activities. The Committee urged the student chairman of the Homecoming program to seek the immediate cooperation of departmental chairman. For the future the Homecoming committee was urged to move earlier to specify and secure support for the type of faculty participation it desired.
2. ORIENTATION: The Committee's recommendation of October 19 that a "professional administrator" be appointed to coordinate Freshman Orientation was favorably received. Director of Student Services William Smith subsequently appointed Miss Lianna Larabee as Orientation Coordinator. Miss Larabee described her new role to the Committee at its January 29 meeting. At Miss Larabee's request the chair appointed a permanent subcommittee to assist and advise her.
3. ACADEMIC EVALUATION: In conference with Stephen Selzer and later Marshal Worden the Committee carefully reviewed every question which later appeared in the evaluation questionnaire for 1967-68. It recommended deletions, additions, and alterations, virtually all of which were accepted by the Student Council's Evaluation Committee. These "drafting" sessions were marked by a sustained spirit of harmony and goodwill.

The Committee's rationale for cooperating in an enterprise which some faculty have found odious is as follows: (1) that the Student Council cannot and should not be dissuaded from publishing an evaluation of courses and professorial performance; (2) that previous evaluations have, in some instances, caused serious and unnecessary damage to faculty-student relations, and (3) that the Committee believes that its suggestions can prevent such damage in the future.

Concurrently, the Committee sought to regularize the sampling of student opinion by resolving unanimously:

"That Faculty members who wish to cooperate with the Evaluation allow ten minutes of class time for the distribution and completion of the questionnaires."

Because this resolution was later criticized on the floor of the Senate, the Committee offers the following explanation:

1. Faculty prerogative was protected by directing the request for ten minutes of class time to those faculty members "who wish to cooperate."
2. Ten minutes was considered a reasonable time period, a consideration based on experimental answering of the questionnaire.
3. Alternative methods of sampling, e.g. by "ballot-box" returns or by putting the evaluation procedure in the hands of small committees, had been tried and found unreliable.

4. STUDENT PARTICIPATION IN DEAN'S COUNCIL DISCIPLINARY ACTION:

Professor Robert Jones introduced and the Committee, during meetings on November 15 and 27, unanimously approved a resolution, part of which was adopted by the Senate on December 8.

That part of the resolution which the Senate adopted read:

"That the President of the Student Body, with the advice and consent of the respective Dean's Councils, appoint one student . . . to become a full participant with voting privileges in the deliberations of each of the Dean's Councils of the University in each case where a student charged with academic dishonesty elects to have student participation in the judgment of his case. Students will be informed of their right to have such student participation in the letter of announcement of the meeting."

Although the Senate adopted this part of the Committee's resolution, it rejected a second paragraph which would have provided for the same sort of student participation when a dean's council heard an appeal from a student who had been suspended for academic deficiency.

In pursuance of that part of the resolution which was adopted, the President of Student Body has already appointed qualified students to the Dean's Councils of the Columbian College (Upper) and the School of Public and International Affairs. Other appointments to the Dean's Councils of other schools will be made before the end of the spring semester, 1968.

5. ALTERATIONS IN THE PENALTY FOR ACADEMIC DISHONESTY:

Professor Robert Jones introduced and the Committee, during meetings on November 15 and 27, unanimously approved a resolution reducing the penalty for academic dishonesty. The Senate adopted this resolution on December 8. As amended on the floor, it read:

"That the penalty for academic dishonesty (page 34 of the current catalog) be amended to read as follows:

"Academic dishonesty on the part of any student will result in a disciplinary grade of F for the course involved and also may, upon the recommendation of the appropriate dean's council, result in his suspension from the University for a stated period, at the end of which time he may petition for readmission. The disciplinary grade of 'Failure--Academic Dishonesty' will be recorded for the course involved, and this grade will be employed in the computation of the quality point index. A student so suspended shall be withdrawn from all other courses except those in which all work has been completed."

The Committee felt that the original penalty--the grade of F in all courses for that semester--was so severe as to deter some professors from bringing charges to the Dean's Councils, and that the Councils were often reluctant to follow the letter of the law. Moreover, the assignment of F in all courses for the semester resulted in an undesirable administrative alteration of grades assigned by professors in courses in which academic dishonesty did not occur.

6. ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE AND THE STUDENT COUNCIL:

This proposal grew out of President Elliott's wish to abolish the University Committee on Student Life, and the resultant problem of transferring that committee's functions to other organs of the University.

Finding a place for the SLC advisory function caused the greatest difficulty. Separately and then jointly the Senate Student Relationships Committee and the University Student Life Committee wrestled with this problem of where to vest the advisory functions of the latter.

Various alternatives were considered:

1. Student Council alone might hereafter advise the President directly on matters relating to student life. This proposal had strong support in committee and later on the floor of the Senate. It seemed to eliminate the need for an intermediary committee and at the same time strengthen the hand of Student Government. This alternative was not proposed by the Senate Committee, however, because it seemed to leave open the possibility of rivalry between Senate and Student Council. To put the President to the choice of unresolved or conflicting advice from these two sources was deemed not to be in the best interests of student-faculty relations or University harmony.
2. Senate Student Relationships Committee might absorb the Student Life Committee's advisory function. One argument against this proposal was that it seemed to subordinate student matters to faculty regulation. The Senate Committee felt that even if its own membership were reconstituted to

admit of equal student-faculty representation, and even if its authority in purely student matters were made terminal, the Committee would remain a committee of the Senate. In that subordinate role the Committee might be unable to prevent matters primarily of student concern from being resolved by the parent faculty body. The Committee, in fact, defeated a motion by which it would have accepted the advisory function of the Student Life Committee, even though that motion contained the safeguards mentioned above.

Having discarded these alternatives, the Senate Committee and the University Committee unanimously endorsed a proposal to establish a Permanent Joint Committee of the Senate and Student Council. This Joint Committee would assume the advisory powers of the Student Life Committee. It would be tied firmly to both parent bodies by providing (1) voting membership in the Senate to the President of Student Body and to the student chairman or student vice-chairman of the Joint Committee; and (2) voting membership in the Student Council to the Chairman of the Senate Executive Committee and to the faculty chairman or faculty vice-chairman of the Joint Committee.

The Joint Committee Resolution (Sen. Res. 67/10) received the unanimous endorsement of the Student Council.

At a special meeting on March 20 the Senate adopted a series of amendments to Draft Resolution 67/10. While giving its approval in substance to this Joint Committee proposal (subject to implementing alterations in the organic laws of both faculty and student bodies), the Senate made four significant changes in the Committee's draft:

1. The Senate rejected that part of 67/10 which would have provided dual membership for two students and two faculty persons.
2. The Senate added a paragraph by which it would have required the Joint Committee to consider any matter which it might refer to the Committee and to report to the Senate on that matter. This addition restricted that part of the draft resolution which gave the Joint Committee relative autonomy in deciding what matters "are of import only to the Student Body" and thereafter directing its advice as it saw fit.
3. The Senate varied part of the general charge to the proposed Joint Committee. Whereas in draft the resolution charged the Committee "to encourage full and responsible student participation in all areas of Senate policy-formulation which affect student life," the amended version charged the Committee "to encourage student recommendations in all areas of Senate policy-formulation which affect student life."

4. Also by amendment the Senate provided that student members of the Joint Committee might have the privilege of the Senate floor whenever the Senate considered a matter coming from the Joint Committee.

The Senate's amended version of Resolution 67/10 was put to the Student Council on March 27. There an answering resolution was introduced and tabled. The Council's resolution, together with the Council's subsequent action thereon, is appended to this Annual Report.

The Senate Student Relationships Committee met on April 1, to consider, amend, and approve this Report.

Signed:

Peter Hill, Chairman
Jay Bomze
T. H. Hohman
Lillian Hamilton
Robert G. Jones
Robert Kenny
James Knicely, ex officio
George Koehl
Steven Korcheck
Barton Loring
John Morgan
Stephen Remsberg
Marianne Phelps
Nan B. Smith
William P. Smith
Helen Yakobson

Appendix to the Annual Report
of the Standing Committee on
Student Relationships of the
University Senate

Whereas, the Student Council, the Student Life Committee, and the University Senate Committee on Student Relationships unanimously approved the establishment of a Joint Senate-Council Committee which would take on the advisory functions of the Student Life Committee; and,

Whereas, the purpose of this committee was to increase communication and cooperation between the Student Council and the University Senate and to encourage greater student participation in molding basic policies affecting all aspects of the University; and,

Whereas, the resolution recognizes this principle by membership of two Council members on the University Senate and two Senate members on the Student Council; and,

Whereas, the University Senate in its resolution of March 20th does not recognize this student participation on matters before the University Senate; therefore:

Be it Resolved by the Student Council of the George Washington University that:

- A. Resolution (67/10) as amended and passed by the University Senate be rejected as unacceptable to the student interest.
- B. The judicial function of the Student Life Committee be vested in a Supreme Court of Student Appeals pursuant to the amendments to the Constitution of the Student Life Committee introduced by Jim Knicely and Alan May now pending before that body.
- C. The Student Life Committee be reconstituted, reappointed and continued to advise the President of the University in molding basic policies affecting all aspects of the University and to guarantee students a formally structured means of access to Presidential policy-making.
- D. Further steps be taken with students and faculty conferring and attempting to integrate the power structure of the University so that the interests of all parties can be represented.

(The foregoing resolution was lifted from the table and passed 24-3, at the meeting of the Student Council on April 3, 1968.)

SUMMARY OF ACTIONS OF THE SENATE AND THE EXECUTIVE
COMMITTEE FOR THE 1967-68 SESSION

- May 12, 1967 Submission of annual report by Standing Committees and by the Executive Committee.
- A special meeting of the Senate was called for May 26, 1967, to debate proposed calendar changes.
- The Chairman of the Executive Committee expressed appreciation to retiring members of the Senate and to members of committees for their support of the Senate during the past session.
- May 26, 1967
(Special Meeting) Presentation of A RESOLUTION TO ALTER THE UNIVERSITY CALENDAR (66/25) by Professor Sharpe, Chairman of the Committee on Administrative Matters. Resolution was unanimously defeated, after reports from Student Council and all schools of the University, as well as officers of the administration and statements from faculty and students.
- October 13, 1967 The Chairman of the Executive Committee announced a request from Columbian College for Senate's Educational Policy Committee to restudy the possibility of advantageous modifications in the University calendar, and suggested that such study might be done in cooperation with the Administrative Matters Committee.
- A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A BOARD OF CHAPLAINS (67/1) was presented by the Faculty Performance and Development Committee. After amendments to the body of the Resolution, and amendment of the title to A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THE RECOGNITION OF A BOARD OF CHAPLAINS, the Resolution was passed.
- November 17, 1967 The Chairman of the Executive Committee announced the ratification by the Board of Trustees, October 19, 1967, of the RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE FACULTY ORGANIZATION PLAN TO DEFINE ELIGIBILITY FOR MEMBERSHIP IN THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (66/8).
- Reports were made by members of the Senate who attended the Airlie House Conference, October 18 and 19. Ad hoc committees - Student Affairs, Financial Affairs, and University Development.
- Professor Park, Chairman of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom, introduced a Special Report: FREE EXPRESSION AND PROTEST ON CAMPUS, 1967-68, with RESOLUTION ON FREE EXPRESSION AND PROTEST ON CAMPUS (67/4). The report was prepared by the committee at the request of the Chairman of the Executive Committee, acting in compliance with a request from the President for the Senate to provide recommendations of guidelines to insure preservation of the freedom of all groups and all points of view at the University. The Resolution including the Statement on Free Expression was adopted by the Senate.
- A RESOLUTION IN REGARD TO SENATE POLICY ON RECRUITING (67/3) presented by Professor Willson was passed.
- December 8, 1967 Mr. E. K. Morris, Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the University, was a guest of the Senate, and Professor Malcolm C. Henderson, Chairman of the Assembly of Ordinary Professors of the Catholic

University, was guest speaker.

Professor Hill, Chairman of the Student Relationships Committee, introduced A RESOLUTION TO PERMIT STUDENT PARTICIPATION IN DECISIONS OF ACADEMIC DISCIPLINE AND SUSPENSION (67/6). After a division of the two paragraphs of the Resolution, the first paragraph was passed, and the second paragraph failed to pass.

Professor Hill presented A RESOLUTION TO ALTER THE PRESENT PENALTY FOR ACADEMIC DISHONESTY (67/5). After prolonged debate and amendments the Resolution was passed.

Professor Brewer asked that the Executive Committee set up "ground rules" relative to the propriety of published expressions of the recommendations by committees of the Senate before these recommendations have been submitted to the Senate.

January 5, 1968 The Executive Committee met informally with an Assistant Professor and members of the School of Engineering to consider allegations that the CODE AND ORDINANCES had been violated by the non-renewal of his two-year contract on the faculty of the School of Engineering.

January 12, 1968 The Committee endorsed unanimously the appointment of Mr. William P. Smith as Director of Student Services, and proposed that the office be put at the vice-presidential level.

The Committee recommended to the President the restoration of an open campus policy at this University to allow the presence of recruiters on campus.

The Chairman of the Executive Committee reported on a meeting held by the Committee immediately prior to this meeting of the Senate to consider action to be taken on a memorandum received from Dean Linton of Columbian College, regarding possible violation of the requirements of the CODE AND ORDINANCES GOVERNING ACADEMIC PERSONNEL OF THE UNIVERSITY by Professor Gallagher, by his stated intention to report meaningless grades. An ad hoc committee was elected to negotiate a settlement of the matter.

Professor Hill spoke regarding the dissolution of the University's Committee on Student Life by the President, and the effect the construction of a Joint Committee of Students and Faculty would have on those concerned.

February 9, 1968 Presentation of A RESOLUTION TO AMEND CODE AND ORDINANCES, PRINCIPLES, STANDARDS, AND PROCEDURES (67/9) by Professor Allen, Chairman of Committee on Faculty Performance and Development. The Resolution concerned an All-University Committee to be formed to review tenure positions and to make recommendations to the President. The Resolution was recommended to the Committee.

Professor Hill reported on steps taken to effect the abolishment of the Student Life Committee, and explained the functions of a Student Court.

March 8, 1968 The Executive Committee presented a slate of nominees for

election to the Nominating Committee, which was elected unanimously.

The Committee presented A RESOLUTION TO APPOINT SPECIAL COMMITTEES (67/12): one committee to study the financing and use of the faculty facilities in the University Center, and one to arrange a faculty-student leadership conference, and it passed.

Professor Jones presented a proposal for an ad hoc committee on University and Urban Affairs. The proposal was referred to the Committee on University Objectives and to the Student Relationships Committee.

March 15, 1968

At the request of Vice President William P. Smith, the Executive Committee met informally with a candidate for the position of Dean of Men at the University.

March 20, 1968

(Special Meeting)

A meeting called for the purpose of acting on A RESOLUTION TO ALTER THE ACADEMIC CALENDAR (67/11), and A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A PERMANENT JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE UNIVERSITY AND THE STUDENT COUNCIL (67/10). After considerable debate, and amendments to the latter Resolution, both were passed.

April 5, 1968

Professor Brewer reported on action taken by the ad hoc committee elected to meet with Professor Gallagher. The committee concluded that in the future, if possible, matters of this type should be handled in executive session, and after discussions are completed within the University family, any announcements pertaining to the matter should be made by the appropriate authority.

A RESOLUTION TO INTERPRET THE PROVISIONS OF FACULTY PROCEDURE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE IX OF THE CODE AND ORDINANCES (67/13) was presented by Professor Desmond, and passed.

* * *

<u>Number</u>	<u>Date of Meeting</u>	<u>Title of Resolution</u>	<u>Disposition</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
67/1	10/13/67	A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THE RECOGNITION OF A BOARD OF CHAPLAINS	Passed	Originated in Executive Committee
67/2	10/13/67	A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING APPRECIATION TO MRS. RUTH BROSNAN FOR HER YEARS OF EXCELLENT SERVICE TO THE UNIVERSITY SENATE	Passed	Originated in Executive Committee
67/3	11/17/67	A RESOLUTION IN REGARD TO SENATE POLICY ON RECRUITING	Passed	Presented by Professor Willson
67/4	11/17/67	A RESOLUTION ON FREE EXPRESSION AND PROTEST ON CAMPUS	Passed	Presented by Professional Ethics Committee
67/5	12/8/67	A RESOLUTION TO ALTER THE PRESENT PENALTY FOR ACADEMIC DISHONESTY	Passed	Presented by Student Relationships Committee
67/6	12/8/67	A RESOLUTION TO PERMIT STUDENT PARTICIPATION IN DECISIONS OF ACADEMIC DISCIPLINE AND SUSPENSION	Passed	Presented by Student Relationships Committee
67/7	12/8/67	A RESOLUTION ON THE PROTECTION OF THE RIGHTS OF STUDENTS	Passed	Presented by Student Relationships Committee
67/8	12/8/67	A RESOLUTION IN HONOR OF THE MEMORY OF CHARLES SAMUEL WISE	Passed	Presented by the Executive Committee
67/9	2/9/68	A RESOLUTION TO AMEND CODE AND ORDINANCES. PRINCIPLES, STANDARDS, AND PROCEDURES		Originated in Faculty Performance Committee Returned to Committee
67/10	3/8/68	A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A PERMANENT JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE AND THE STUDENT COUNCIL		Carried over to a Special Meeting called for 3/20/68. Stud. Rel. Com.
67/11	3/8/68	A RESOLUTION TO ALTER THE ACADEMIC CALENDAR		Originated in Educational Policy Committee. Carried over to a Special Meeting called for 3/20/68.
67/12	3/8/68	A RESOLUTION TO APPOINT SPECIAL COMMITTEES	Passed	Originated in Executive Committee.
67/13	4/5/68	A RESOLUTION TO INTERPRET THE PROVISIONS OF FACULTY PROCEDURE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE IX OF THE CODE AND ORDINANCES	Passed	Originated in Professional Ethics Committee.
67/10	3/20/68	See 67/10 above.	<u>Passed</u>	To be approved by the President, members of Student Council, and after necessary amendments of Faculty Organization Plan and Articles of Student Government have been enacted.
67/11	3/20/68	See 67/11 above.	Passed	

APPENDIX III

MEMBERS OF UNIVERSITY SENATE COMMITTEES 1968 - 1969 Session

Executive Committee

Reuben E. Wood, Chemistry, Col, Chairman
Seymour Alpert, Anesthesiology, MS
Edward H. Braun, Electrical Eng, SEAS
John W. Brewer, International Law, Col
David J. Sharpe, Law

Lloyd H. Elliott, President
ex officio

Administrative Matters as They Affect the Faculty

*Robert E. Cronin, EAd, SEAS, Chairman
James Feffer, Med, MS
Frederick H. Gibbs, HCA, Col
Donald Gross, EAd, SEAS
Margaret McIntyre, Educ
George Olkhovsky, Slav, Col

Admissions & Advanced Standing

*R. N. Ganz, Am Lit, Col, Chairman
Dorothy M. Betz, French, Col
Mary E. Coleman, Educ
Roy C. Lindholm, Geol, Col
Muriel McClanahan, Engl, Col
Frederick L. Minn, Chem, Col
Margaret W. Montzka, Physics, Col
Robert C. Rutledge, Engl, Col

Appointment, Salary & Promotion Policies (including Fringe Benefits)

*Richard H. Schlagel, Phil, Col, Chairman
O. Bergmann, Physics, Col
Burton S. Epstein, Anesth, MS
Elyce Z. Ferster, Law
Raymond R. Fox, EMech, SEAS
Michael Gallagher, Acctg, SGBA
Rudolph Hugh, Microb, MS
Benjamin Nimer, PSci, Col
Klaus Thoenelt, German, Col

Athletics

*Theodore P. Perros, Chem, Col
Chairman
Robert E. Baker, Educ
H. J. Cantini, Jr. Asst VP & Treas
Edward A. Caress, Chem, Col
Lyndale George, Educ
Frederick R. Houser, Registrar
F. C. Kurtz, Acctg, SGBA
Edward A. Potts, Law
Charles B. Reed, PE, Col

Student Members

Educational Policy

*Artley J. Zuchelli, Physics, Col,
Chairman
James S. Aven, Educ
John B. Christensen, Anat, MS
Astere E. Claeysens, Engl, Col
William B. Griffith, Phil, Col
Andrew Gyorgy, Inst of Sino Sov St, Col
J. Reid Hambrick, Law
Robert S. Jordan, PSci, Col
Hewitt Kenyon, Math, Col
Wretha Peterson, Educ
Lewis Schiller, Law
William E. Schmidt, Chem, Col
Charles S. Tidball, Physiol, MS
Helen B. Yakobson, Slav, Col

Faculty Performance & Development

*Edwin L. Stevens, Speech, Col, Chairman
Thomas F. Courtless, Law
Raymond S. Cottrell, Educ
Charles R. Naeser, Chem, Col
*Robert C. Parlett, Microb, MS
Melvin Reich, Microb, MS
Theodore Toridis, EMech, SEAS

Library

*Thomas P. Liverman, Math, Col, Chairman
James H. Coberly, Am Lit, Col
John A. Frey, Rom Lang, Col
Barry I. Hyman, Civ Eng, SEAS
Wolfram K. Legner, German, Col
Laurence P. Leite, Art, Col
Robert W. Rafuse, Econ, GSAS
Waldo Sommers, PAD, SGBA
George V. Vahouny, Biochem, MS
R. L. Weintraub, Biol Sci, MS
Samuel Wrenn, Chem, Col

Physical Facilities

*Max Pock, Law, Chairman
Perry Botwin, Spec Educ
Lloyd S. Bowling, Speech, Col
Richard B. Castell, Stud Health, MS
Angus Griffin, Microb, MS
Arnold C. Meltzer, EEng, SEAS
David G. White, Chem, Col

Professional Ethics & Academic Freedom

*Alton H. Desmond, Grad Coun, Col, Chairman
Paul A. Crafton, EAdmin, SEAS
Roderic H. Davison, Hist, Col
Herbert Jehle, Physics, Col
Paula A. Kaiser, Anesth, MS
Robert E. Park, Law
Glen E. Weston, Law
Harry Yeide, Jr., Rel, Col
Wood Gray, Hist, Col

Public Ceremonies

*John G. Boswell, Educ, Chairman
Paul Calabrisi, Anat, MS
George F. Conner, BAd, SGBA
Vincent J. DeAngelis, PE, Col
Roy B. Eastin, BAd, SGBA
Robert Honeygosky, Speech, Col
John F. Latimer, Cl L, Col
M. Elizabeth Tidball, Physiol, MS

Research

*Norman C. Kramer, Med, MS, Chairman
J. Martyn Bailey, Bioch, MS
James M. Brown, Law
Arthur E. Burns, Econ, GSAS
Gregory L. Fowler, Biol, MS
Nelson T. Grisamore, EEng, SEAS
Robert Heller, EEng, SEAS
H. H. Hobbs, Physics, Col
James N. Mosel, Psych, Col
George A. Olkhovsky, Russ, Col
*Robert C. Parlett, Microb, MS
Ira R. Telford, Anat, MS
Benjamin D. Van Evera, Chem, Col

Scholarship

*Carol R. St. Cyr, Educ, Chairman
Harry G. Detwiler, Educ
Raymond R. Fox, EMech, SEAS
Phillip Grub, BAd, SGBA
Frank N. Miller, Path, MS
Elizabeth S. Neyman, Span, Col
James R. Roman, BAd, SGBA
Lois G. Schwoerer, Hist, Col
William L. Turner, Engl, Col

Student Relationships

*Thomas A. Clingan, Jr., Law, Chairman
Alexander Breslow, Path, MS
Diana Bronte, Rom Lang, Col
Robert W. Kenny, Hist, Col
Arthur D. Kirsch, Stat, Col
Stephen J. Korcheck, PE, Col
Nicholas Kyriakopoulos, EEng, SEAS
Edwin J. B. Lewis, Acctg, SGBA
Nan Byrd Smith, PE, Col

University Resources

*Karl E. Stromsen, PAd, SGBA, Chairman
Thomas M. Brown, Med, MS
Clifford T. Ireland, Stat, Col
Calvin T. Klopp, Surg, MS
Presson Shane, EAd, SEAS
Robert H. Walker, Jr., Amer Civ, Col

Student Members

David Berz
Richard Crosfield
David Cardwell

University Objectives

*John A. Morgan, PSci, SPIA, Chairman
Victor H. Cohn, Pharm, MS
Donald S. Douglas, Biol Sci, MS
Harold P. Green, Law
Daniel Sinich, Educ
Geza Teleki, Geol, Col
Richard C. Thornton, Hist, Col
Merle G. VanDyke, Spec Educ

*Member of the Senate

A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A SPECIAL SENATE
COMMITTEE ON UNIVERSITY AND URBAN AFFAIRS
(68/1)

Whereas,

A complete education requires not only the accumulation, classification, analysis and synthesis of facts but also an immediate relationship with the world from which these facts derive; and

Whereas,

The location of The George Washington University, not only four blocks west of the White House but some twenty blocks southwest of D. C.'s worst slums, presents the opportunity for education relevant to one of the most urgent problems of modern society; and

Whereas,

An informal survey suggests not only significant faculty and student involvement in study of and service to the disadvantaged segments of the inner-city but also uncoordinated and inadequate programs; and

Whereas,

Student participation in service projects is of growing concern to the leadership of the Student Council and to the student body generally; and

Whereas,

The Student Council has appointed a Committee on Urban Affairs,

Therefore,

Be it Resolved by the Senate of The George Washington University:

That the Senate elect a Special Committee on University and Urban Affairs.

That this Committee, in cooperation with the Student Council Committee on Urban Affairs, shall study the University's commitment to the community in which it is located; define goals and priorities; develop and recommend policies and programs to accomplish its goals.

The Committee on Student Relationships
May 10, 1968 - *amended*

Passed by Senate May 10, 1968

A RESOLUTION CONCERNING UNLAWFUL ACTS WHICH CAUSE OR
IMMINENTLY THREATEN INJURY TO PERSONS OR PROPERTY
OR WHICH OBSTRUCT OR INTERFERE WITH NORMAL AND
NECESSARY UNIVERSITY ACTIVITIES (68/2)

Whereas,

Safety and order are essential preconditions of the learning process,
and indeed of the very concept of a university; and

Whereas,

The George Washington University is committed to protection of free
speech and freedom of assembly, and to safeguarding the right of
lawful protest on campus; and is committed equally to maintaining
the peace and safety of the campus, in the knowledge that all
rights are in jeopardy when violence and civil disorder prevail
over law and reason;

Now, therefore,

Be it Resolved by the Senate of The George Washington University:

That in the event a demonstration at this University exceeds
the bounds of free assembly and lawful advocacy, and demonstra-
tors are engaging in unlawful acts which cause or imminently
threaten injury to persons or property, or which obstruct or
interfere with normal and necessary University activities,
this body affirms the authority of the President, or other Uni-
versity official designated to act in his absence, to take such
reasonable steps, if possible after consultation with the Chair-
man of the Executive Committee of the University Senate and the
President of the Student Body, as are required to restore and
preserve order; including, if deemed necessary and appropriate,
suspension of students or faculty engaging in such acts, and
use of such law enforcement personnel as are needed to effect
the removal, arrest, and prosecution of law violators. Any
such suspension shall be reviewed by an appropriate tribunal
as soon after order is restored as is practicably possible.

The Executive Committee

May 10, 1968

passed by the Senate May 10/68